

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC'S.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,633	02/05/2002	Yusuf Ali	GOJO.01211	8088
26360 75	590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR & WEBER			KIM, VICKIE Y	
FIRST NATION		. TOWER FOURTH FLOOR EET		PAPER NUMBER
AKRON OH	11308	1614		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
C	Advisory Action	10/068,633	ALI ET AL.				
	nation name	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vickie Kim	1614				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
fee have fee und (2) as	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). In the state of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
_	timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3.							
4.	 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5.🖂	The a)⊠ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were newly				
7.🖂							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 12 and 25</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately a	oved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 🕡	Irwi.				
10.🛛	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	PRIMA	RY EXAMINER				
		C	Vickie Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1614				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the instant claims fail to distinguish the patentability of the claimed subject matter from the teaching of the prior art cited. The 132 declaration and the request for reconsideration was carefully reconsidered but not persusasive. Applicant's 132 declaration is filled to support the superiority of the instnat invention over to those found in the patent cited where applicant's tests showed unsatisfactory end-results, especially in respect to viscosity and the appearance(e.g. clearness). However, applicant fails to include specific element(s) that make(s) claimed inventioin patentably distinct. As acknowledged by the applicant, both patent and instant application utilizes same ingredients(i.e. C1-C4 alcohol, gelling agent(carbomer) and a neutralizer(e.g. sod.hydroxide). Applicant claims that the composition in patented disclosure would not produce clear, viscous product whereas applicant's composition would. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Thus the 132 declaration does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 10. Other: The request for the withdrawal of finality was carefully considered. Finality of the previous office action issued however, deems to be proper because the new ground of rejection was necessitiated by applicant's amendment(e.g. exclusion of mousse inclusion of density), and thus, maintained. It is noted that 112 rejection is maintained since it is improper to incorprate reference to teac essential subject matter. MPEP(608.01) clearly teaches that it is improper to incorporate the essential subject matter into the application by reference to any printed material other than US patent, and thus, applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained. However, new matter rejection is withdrawn as upon issuing instant office action.